1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS	
2	WES	TERN DIVISION
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Docket No. 09 CR 50034
4	Plaintiff,) Rockford, Illinois) Tuesday, February 22, 2011
5	v.) 2: 30 o' clock p. m
6	OSIC BERNARD PUCKETT,	
7	Defendant.	5
8	EXCERPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE FREDERICK J. KAPALA	
9		
10	APPEARANCES: For the Government:	HON. PATRICK J. FITZGERALD
11	ror the dovernment.	United States Attorney (308 West State Street,
12		Rockford, IL 61101) by MR. MARK T. KARNER
13		Assistant U.S. Attorney
14	For the Defendant Puckett:	SREENAN & CAIN (321 West State Street,
15		Suite 803, Rockford, IL 61101) by
16		MR. DANIEL J. CAIN
17	Also Present:	MR. ERIC SEAGREN Probation Department
18	Court Reporter:	Mary T. Lindbloom
19		211 South Court Street Rockford, Illinois 61101
20		(815) 987-4486
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

(The following is an excerpt of proceedings:)

THE COURT: I've considered the presentence report and accompanying materials. I've considered the sentencing guidelines calculations and all of the other sentencing factors contained in Section 3553(a). Among those factors are the nature and circumstances of the offense, the history and characteristics of the defendant. I also recognize the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment for the offense, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant, to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar conduct, and to provide the defendant with needed educational or vocational training, medical care, or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner.

The guidelines calculations take into account the quantity of marijuana for which the defendant is accountable, his acceptance of responsibility, and his lack of countable convictions.

In mitigation, the defendant has owned and managed real estate. He's had vocational training. He's attended college classes, reportedly has been obtained his GED. His family is supportive. And what's significant to me is that -- among other things -- is that he's waived his right to appeal the denial of

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

his motion to suppress.

In aggravation, the defendant's transfer of substantial assets to his mother in August of 2009 leads the court to the conclusion that it was done in an effort to avoid having those assets seized or applied to his financial obligations or penalties relating to this case. He has a prior criminal felony conviction which was not counted toward his criminal history because of age.

In view of the foregoing, I have determined that a sentence within the guideline range is the most appropriate sentence in this case. I conclude that a sentence sufficient but not greater than necessary to comply with the purposes set forth in paragraph two of Section 3553(a) is as follows.

Probation is not authorized in this case. The defendant is hereby committed to the custody of the United States Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a total term of 60 months. I'll recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that the defendant be assigned to a facility which will offer a residential drug abuse program. In the defendant's pro se submission, he asked to be assigned to a federal medical facility. I must defer to the expertise and the prerogatives of the Bureau of Prisons.

MR. CAIN: May I speak to that briefly, Judge?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. CAIN: We appreciate and certainly we are asking

Honor. In addition to that, it's obvious to me that Mr. Puckett has some type of a growth on his back. I was able to physically perceive it with my hands yesterday at the Ogle County Jail. There is some type of a problem, a physical problem with regard to that.

It's true that he has been to the doctor in the Ogle County facility. The Ogle County doctor at first told him something to the effect that "I know what it is," but wouldn't share the information with Mr. Puckett. But it seems to be increased in size and I believe needs medical attention, and I would think, perhaps, that the prison facility in Minnesota by all accounts seems to have an excellent medical program for treatment for prisoners who need treatment, and I would ask you to consider recommending that.

THE COURT: What's the name of the facility in Minnesota?

MR. CAIN: I believe it's in Rochester, Minnesota, Judge.

MR. KARNER: Judge, I don't mean to put the cart before the horse, though it seems to me there should be a diagnosis to support that recommendation rather than just sending him there.

THE COURT: Well, it's going to be up to the Bureau of Prisons, anyway. I mean, I could recommend anything, and if they don't think it's appropriate, they won't do it.

MR. KARNER: Okay.

THE COURT: But I've got a list of Bureau of Prisons facilities, if you'd like me to get it.

MR. CAIN: I'm certain that it is Rochester, Minnesota.

THE COURT: I'll make a recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that the defendant be assigned to the facility in Rochester, Minnesota. Of course, everybody understands that that's only a recommendation by me and that I will defer to their expertise, their experience in this matter, and I will rely on them to assign the defendant to the facility that can best provide for his medical needs. And one of the considerations that I have to take into account is that the sentence in this case provide the defendant with proper medical care under 3553(a)(2)(D). In any event, I'll do that for you.

MR. CAIN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Upon release from imprisonment, defendant shall serve a term of supervised release of five years. The defendant shall comply with the standard conditions contained in the supervised release order and shall also comply with the following conditions. Defendant shall not possess a firearm ammunition, destructive device, or any other dangerous weapon. The defendant shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as directed by the probation officer.

During the term of supervised release, the defendant shall submit his person, premises, office, vehicle, or other property to a search with or without reasonable suspicion of probable cause to be conducted by the United States Probation Office or any sworn federal, state, or local law enforcement officer at the direction or with the authorization of the United States Probation Office in a reasonable manner and for the purpose of investigating any violation of any conditions of supervised release. Failure to submit to such a search will be grounds for revocation of his supervised release. The defendant shall promptly notify any other resident that his premises is subject to a search pursuant to this condition.

Also, the defendant shall participate in any substance abuse counseling program deemed appropriate by the Probation Department.

In regard to a fine, I have also considered the factors contained in Section 3572, as well as the evidence and matters brought up during this hearing. The court orders the defendant to pay a fine of \$25,000. That's due immediately. I'll order the defendant to pay a special assessment of \$100. That's due immediately. If the fine and special assessment are not paid in full during the term of incarceration, then during his term of supervised release and as an additional condition of that supervised release, the defendant shall pay to the Clerk of the Court at least 10 percent of the defendant's gross earnings minus federal and state income tax withholding until the fine and special assessment are paid. It seems to me they will be

1 paid, as there is at least that amount of money available from 2 the bond money and the amount of money seized from the garment 3 bag. I will work with the probation department, 4 MR. CAIN: your Honor, to effectuate that. When you say immediately, I'm 5 6 certain you mean within a reasonable time period. We can 7 arrange for those matters to be transferred. 8 THE COURT: Certainly. Mr. Cain, have I addressed all your arguments? 9 10 (Which were all the proceedings had in the excerpt of the 11 above-entitled cause on the day and date aforesaid.) 12 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from **13** the excerpt of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 14 **15** 16 Mary T. Lindbloom Official Court Reporter 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25